



TRANSNATIONAL LAW MOOT COMPETITION

ORAL ROUNDS SCORE SHEET

INSTRUCTIONS: Please complete 1 score card for EACH PARTICIPANT in the round. After the round, immediately email all 4 SCORE CARDS to **tlawmoot@outlook.com**, so that they can be added up to determine who is advancing to the next round. Each judge is to submit 4 score cards per round!

Judge Name:	Courtroom:
Team #:	Role (Circle One): Government / Defence
Oralist Name:	

CRITERIA	SCORE
Knowledge and use of rules and principles of law (max 10 points) <i>The speaker states and applies rules of law, the arguments are supported by sufficient authorities, the sources cited are relevant to the argument.</i>	/10
Knowledge and use of the facts (max 10 points) <i>The speaker knows and accurately interprets the facts, the facts of the case are effectively compared and distinguished from the facts of real world precedents.</i>	/10
Questions from the Bench & Rebuttal (max 10 points) <i>The speaker is able to easily answer questions about facts, law, and policy posed by the judges. The speaker's rebuttal addresses appropriately the arguments of the opposing teams.</i>	/10
Persuasiveness & Style of Presentation (max 10 points) <i>The speaker conveys formality, respect and professionalism, while being confident and eloquent. The speaker maintains eye contact with the full bench.</i>	/10
Teamwork – Structure & Organization (max 10 points) <i>Both speakers follow a logical roadmap that compliments their partner, the flow of both arguments is easy to follow, and the arguments are presented concisely and to the point.</i>	/10
TOTAL SCORE	/50

Scoring Guideline:

- 0 – 3 points per criteria = weak presentation
- 4 – 7 points per criteria = average presentation
- 8–10 points per criteria = strong presentation

Based on all 4 participants...which one do you consider the top oralist in this round? **[MUST ONLY CHOOSE ONE]** → _____

JUDGE'S COMMENTS: